
# Lesson 4

## **Decoding the Encoded Part 1**

In this lesson we're searching for lost constants or mathematics we've failed to see for the last several thousand years. We've seen in the previous lessons that a known constant when applied to another known constant will result in another constant. We learnt at Cuicuilco that our ancient ancestors knew our modern day circular math system without the use of  $\pi$ . What else did they have encoded in their earthworks, structures and anything else we can find?

Before we get into graphs, ratios, spectrums, music charts, energy related charts and graphs we still need to keep things basic – to understand the base system or the "base layer" as I like to call it. If anyone is knowledgeable of the telecommunication model OSI – Open Systems Interconnection model it too relies on a pyramid structure if one is to visualize it seen in figure 4.1.

Figure: 4.1 – OSI Model



Could the Pyramids at Giza actually be some kind of model? Could they be some kind of physical element placed where they are to communicate to other structures through this geodetic pyramid matrix system on a global and universal array? We already know they have a mathematical relationship

and it's a very strong relationship at that. Before I answer these questions we need to yet find proof of their knowledge.

We've seen in the previous lessons one real layer I like to call the Base Layer like seen in figure 4.1. This layer consists of the base 360\* Radian Mathematics without the use of  $\pi$  or to use  $\pi$  to prove Carl and our ancestors knew more than anyone is willing to admit. Why? I think it's due to the fact we've accepted our time here on this planet as biblical – so to speak. A misinformation perhaps, a tunnel vision of beliefs, close mindedness, untold, hidden, secret, we're the only intelligent minds in all other millions of planets known to exist etc... I could go on and on here. But one thing is for certain, the math. The math presented in Project KINDS doesn't lie. Math it's self doesn't lie. It's perhaps the only language spoken that doesn't lie. Sure, we've found holes in mathematics especially in Quantum Physics or Mechanics as some put it <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Interpretations.org/quantum\_mechanics">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Interpretations.org/quantum\_mechanics</a> where as some areas of certain schools of science yet unknown or yet to be 100% proofed. While many have theories, making them practical is almost impossible.

Did you know we've recreated the big bang theory? By smashing two photons into one another? Here is that website <a href="http://home.web.cern.ch/topics/large-hadron-collider">http://home.web.cern.ch/topics/large-hadron-collider</a> and you can watch many videos, listen to many webcasts and speak to many people involved in CERN and learn more about energy, mathematics now than you'll ever want to know. I receive emails back from CERN in minutes when I have questions.

What I'm getting at here is that not too long ago a brilliant man named Frank Znidarsic stated he knew how fast a photon travelled at and much more than that without actually proving it physically while he did prove in theory thanks to Lane Davis' hard work on that – proofing Frank Z. Just in the past few years we've got past this, proved this with CERN and the LHC device that spans across two countries, something easily seen from high above.

If you and I were born across the galaxy and had a spacecraft and decided to go take a trip to another area of the galaxy and stopped over Earth without knowing anything about it only a few manmade structures would pop out at us wouldn't they from far enough out? I mean back many years ago. Well, I won't get into the Nazca Lines, Tikal, Shark Mound, Panther Mound and many places yet but certain things like CERN now and the Pyramids at Giza surely stand out. Some of our biggest buildings on Earth have to do with energy don't they? Coal burning power plants, nuclear power plants, refineries, hydroelectric power generator stations and not to forget about the massive wired grid they attach themselves to. Am I comparing Giza's Pyramids to some kind of energy related construct as some say Nikola Tesla based his Wardenclyffe Tower on? Do I need to really answer this? Why don't we just ask Giza's pyramids or GET - Global Energy Transmission <a href="http://globalenergytransmission.com/index.php/en/">http://globalenergytransmission.com/index.php/en/</a> on if they relate to Nikola Tesla's Wardenclyffe Tower?

Well, once again we just need to do the math for ourselves. This is an educational tutorial on what other people seemed to have known at some point in time, yet we've somehow forgot.

Let's head back to Stonehenge for a moment seen in figure 4.2.

Figure: 4.2 – Stonehenge, Amesbury




We've talked about the stones at Stonehenge but we have not talked about its larger earth mound circle in detail. I indeed found it hard to find exact measurements on the web, after all it's very old and not a lot of people take note of it. I'd be interested to get more measurements of this site especially the circle mound. In any case the 288ft is measured by few as seen in figures 4.3a and 4.3b.

Figure: 4.3a – Carl P Munck's illustration of Professor Atkinson's measurements



Figure 4.3b – unknown source



Now the 288ft reference will be explained a little later on. If we follow Cuicuilco's direction this number becomes  $288\pi = 904.7786842$ . Carl explains that Stonehenge's outer stone circle when multiplied gives us its grid latitude of 21,600. Should the inner arrangement of stones also tell us its grid longitude or to proof another site on Earth? Remember that the inner horseshoe shaped arrangement is arranged irrationally (not attached to one another) when comparing it to the other circle around it (back in its prime). We'll get into other logic of this arrangement later on and why it's pointing to a certain position.

Remember the 3 sets of numbers at Stonehenge? 60, 15 and 2 when looking at it completed. 60 outer stones making up the full 360\* circle. 15 inner stones, that's 2 sets of layouts isn't it? I mentioned before the number 2 can be pulled a multitude of ways at Stonehenge when thinking about it.

What level of math must be obtained to fit these numbers into its own grid longitude if they indeed encoded such a thing? I've tried proofing Carl's method other ways and I'll get to this later on, but let's keep it simple. Let's try the square root system.

If we square root 15 and 60 we get these numbers.

√15 = 3.872983346

√60 = 7.745966692


If we take the  $\sqrt{15}$  = 3.87298 and multiple it by 2 we get the  $\sqrt{60}$  = 7.74596669

This builds a functional link as Carl states to all three numbers. We just had to see a different form of math used at Stonehenge to get into another form of mathematics, the square root system. Is this why the designers built the inner horseshoe shaped layout? To show us or to tell us to think differently? I've searched many Stonehenge related surveys, illustrations showing all kinds of geometric shapes encoded in the structure but to find a square is rare indeed only found by some seen in figures 4.4a and figure 4.4b.

Figure 4.4a



Figure 4.4b




Indeed a visual mathematical link is found at Stonehenge when proofing Carl Munck's theory and numbers. Geometric shapes do show up when making the connections. Did our ancient ancestors know the square root system too and if so, what will we find?

If we take  $288\pi$  x 15 = 13,571.68026 If we square the number V15 and multiply to this number 13,571.68026 we get 52,562.89164 V15 = 3.872983346 13571.68026 x 3.872983346 = 52,562.89164

Carl states he's not pulling numbers out of thin air like what so many numerologists do. We're really just taking numbers given to us by Stonehenge. See figure 4.5 to see what this number is.

Figure 4.5 – Carl Munck illustration of Longitude W.Giza



Stonehenge's Grid Longitude is answered!

Grid Longitude: 32\* 57' 28.817" = 52,562.89164

Grid Latitude: 51\* 10' 42.35 = 21,600 (60 stones x 360\*)

**Grid Vector:** 

If we continue with thinking about square roots we can continue to proof Stonehenge and our own math system for that matter.

 $52,562.89164 / 360\pi = 46.47580015$ Note: (360 x  $\pi$  = 1130.97335)

Does anyone know what 46.47580015 also represents? The square root of  $2160 \ \sqrt{2160} = 46.47580015$ 

This is also the angular degrees of the geometric cube. We see the geometric shape of a square or cube in figure 4.4a and 4.4b. Carl doesn't disclose the visual of the square/cube in his video, but I'm assuming at that time he wasn't privy to easily accessible free information from the internet (right 1980s and early 1990'S?). Carl stated he had worked on this for years and over a decade. For those of you young enough or forgetful, the internet wasn't around in the 80's let alone household or now handheld computer systems. What took Carl Munck many years to prove, is only taking me a small fraction of time to proof him and his discoveries. I could imagine the level of frustration Carl had to endure when he actually had to track down archeologists, certain year maps from certain governments world-wide. Putting all this together without the internet and today's technological world must have been asinine. Whatever propelled Carl to continue on with this I must personally thank him now — Carl, thank you very much for your dedication in showing us what has been overlooked for many of thousands of years.

I know certain circles or groups on Earth have some of this knowledge and I'll prove that in another separate project called "Project Secret Circle". I'll prove that a lot of the discoveries in this tutorial especially the math are mimicked throughout the world in other buildings, landscapes and overall terrains. Let's continue shall we.

If we continue following Munck's methodology especially reading Stonehenge we can rework some numbers to proof even more.

 $21,600/360\pi$  = 19.09859317This number is 1/3R taught to us by Cuicuilco 1/3R = 19.09859317Ratio of the Volume and Surface area of a Sphere at Cuicuilco

Keeping on track with decoding the encoded we can find constants; constants our ancient ancestors used.

The  $\sqrt{2160} \times 1/3R = 887.6223994 = \sqrt{VS}$  (square root of the volume of a sphere)

**Discovered Constants:** 

 $R = Radian = 57.29577951 \qquad \qquad \forall 60 = 7.7459666924 \\ 1/2R = Half the Radian = 28.64788976 \qquad \forall VS = 887.622399 \\ 1/3R = One third the Radian = 19.09859317 \qquad \forall 2160 = 46.47580015$ 

√15 = 3.8729833

Are these all the secret ancient constants found? No. It's just the start of a beautiful knowledge - the beginning of a mathematical enlightenment. They're many so called experts that try and force numbers to work for themselves where monetary gain is somewhat the issue or perhaps time demands it. I've watched an extraordinary amount of videos, read blogs, read web pages and e-books filled with someone's interpretation of Giza and other pyramids especially one recent brilliant discoverer by the name Dr. Semir Osmanagic – the discoverer of Bosnia's Pyramids. Well, I'm not mocking this man and his crew but he has publically made a wrong mathematical statement of the Great Giza Pyramid as many numerologists do – making numbers work for themselves for whatever purposes.

In Dr. Semir Osmanagic's video named "Bosnian Pyramids Energy Investigation" at a rough time est. in the video of 20 minutes and 30 seconds he explains that the Great Giza Pyramid has measurements of;

232 meters of length at the base sides at GGP 2 sides = 464 Height = 147 meters.

He says the result is 3.14 Pi. Well he's wrong. You get 3.156462585. Yes it is close but it's not Pi is it? Carl as well I will prove to you the exact specifications of the Great Giza Pyramid and where Pi can be found. But before I prove this to you with Carl Munck's help let's keep going.

When we look at the Great Giza Pyramid as seen in Carl's illustration in figure 4.6 we can see a triangle divided into two triangular ones.





Figure: 4.6 – Double Pi visual illustration Carl P Munck

It is also noted by experts that half way along the base of all 4 sides of the Great Giza Pyramids is that it's concrete brick (which I'll get into the concrete ideal construction later — as there poured concrete stones, not quarried stones) work is toed into the center of the structure ever so slightly -giving us the indication of the visual by Carl Munck. Not many people know this, but it is a 100% true fact which I'll quote later on. We also find the golden ratio with these two triangles which I'll get into as well later on but let's stay focused on the lower level system and math.

Looking at figure 4.6 we see 2 triangles or double Pi. Is this assumed by Carl and others, others such as archeologists and mathematicians? What if we apply  $2\pi$  some way to the 2160 number? Running numbers through the mill is a must but looking at the structures themselves can prove to follow directions and learn some facts quicker then to simply force numbers.

If we take the  $V2160 / 2\pi$  the result is 7.396853329. Remember the logic of working with two constants? Will they once again show us another constant or possible coordinate? All we have to do is look, see figure 4.7.





Indeed we find yet another grid value when totaled up.

### Grid Longitude of 4,5,6 East of the Great Giza Pyramid

Grid Longitude: 00\* 00' 07.3968" MY^2

Grid Latitudes: Grid Vectors:

The number 7.3968 is indeed a squared number, another constant? Indeed it is.

 $\sqrt{7.396853329} = 2.719715671$  which is recognized by British antiquarians as the Megalithic Yard (MY^2). Better known as 2.72 ft. Is it possible that the 7.3968 number is found within other places? Indeed it is and again we go back to Stonehenge.

```
360 / MY<sup>2</sup> = 48.66934411
360 / 7.39685333 = 48.6693441033
```

Is this still merely coincidence? Is this the actual radius of Stonehenge? Many have tried to measure it precisely as they could with the 48.xx being so close to 48.6693441033 as a decimal valued number. Let's try proofing more on this.

If we take the grid longitude of Stonehenge as the whole number of 52562.89164 and apply some other known constants found at Stonehenge we get these ratios.

```
: 2 : 15 : 60 : V15 : V60
```

We get 0.97338688 when we divide all the numbers found within Stonehenge' whole number of 52562.89164.

```
52562.89164 / 2 = 26281.44582
26281.44582 / 15 = 1752.096388
1752.096388 / 60 = 29.2016064666
29.2016064666 / 3.8729833 = 7.53981272
7.53981272 / 7.7459666924 = 0.97338688
```

When we look at the numbers found at Stonehenge we can start to put together some interesting data results.

#### Stonehenge data:

| Stonehenge Radius        | 48.66934411 ft    | SR |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----|
| Stonehenge Diameter      | 97.3386882 ft     | SD |
| Stonehenge Circumference | 305.7985078 ft    | SC |
| Stonehenge Area          | 7441.506402 sq.ft | SA |

How to acquire the circumference of Stonehenge through their math?

Taking the megalithic yard^2 /  $2\pi$  = 1.177245771 (AP) Alternate Pi. 360 / 1.177245771 = 305.7985078, the Circumference of Stonehenge

If we take the Radian being 57.29577951 / Alternate Pi being 1.177245771 We get 48.66934411 the Radius of Stonehenge

#### **Discovered Constants 2:**

R = Radian = 57.29577951  $\sqrt{60} = 7.7459666924$  1/2R = Half the Radian = 28.64788976  $\sqrt{VS} = 887.622399$ 

1/3R = One third the Radian = 19.09859317  $\sqrt{2160}$  = 46.47580015

 $\sqrt{15} = 3.8729833$  MY^2 = megalithic yard sq = 2.719715671

Is this all of them? Carl demonstrates many numbers and anyone can make two constants to equal another constant. Applying these constants to find actual data of these ancient sites has been deemed more than plausible especially when so many other people measured them with today's technology and the error margin of these numbers is sometimes less than a fraction. Do note Carl's discovered numbering system is not rounded off and pulled from an unknown source like what many numerologists do. All we have to do is answer these sites with their message, their own language – mathematics. We don't see a lot of these numbers in today's mathematics, so we don't recognize them for what they are. I, like Carl and some others have applied these numbers to other locations on Earth to continually prove Carl's or our ancient ancestor's logic to be 100% sound and logical when the right map is read. While our own math systems are extraordinary and continuing to grow in complexity especially figuring out the speed of transition of a photon, you'll no doubt see a greater level of math when compared to what we've discovered thus far. Is someone still calculating the odds of all this?

#### **Lesson 4 Conclusion:**

Grid latitudes and longitudes which when multiplied together gives us full numbers that are interchangeable with one another to prove their logic to one another on a global array of megalithic sites through radian mathematics. We find accurate measurements of their properties which Carl and others deemed to be known as geodetics while using their pyramid matrix system. Simplistic mathematics to follow – but one will only understand their language once you realize this logic to be 100% true and factual.